Transient Behavior of MGInf Systems: Mean and Variance from the Start of Busy Periods with Applications to Epidemics and Unemployment

Manuel Alberto M. Ferreira

Transient Behavior of $M|G|\infty$ Systems: Mean and Variance from the Start of Busy Periods with Applications to Epidemics and Unemployment

Manuel Alberto M. Ferreira

manuel.ferreira@iscte-iul.pt

Abstract

The M|G|∞ queue system transient probabilities, with time origin at the beginning of a busy period, are determined. It is highlighted the obtained distribution mean and variance study as time functions. In this study, it is determinant the service time hazard rate function and two induced differential equations. The study model has potential applications in various real-world scenarios, such as epidemics and unemployment. The model's application in these areas offers insights into potential mitigating measures, including vaccination and medical care in epidemics, and government support and training in unemployment. Also, we will show how the results obtained can be applied in modeling epidemic and unemployment situations.

Keywords: M |G|∞; hazard rate function; differential equations; epidemic; unemployment.

1 Introduction

In M|G|∞ queue systems, customers arrive according to a Poisson process at rate λ, receive a service which time is a positive random variable with distribution function $G(\cdot)$ and mean α and, when they arrive, find immediately an available server. Any number of customers are processed simultaneously and independently. Often self-service situations may be described by this model [16]. Each customer service is independent from the other customers' services and from the arrivals process. The traffic intensity is [2]:

$$\rho = \lambda\alpha \tag{1.1}$$

Call $N(t)$ the number of occupied servers (or the number of customers being served) at instant t, in a M|G|∞ system. From [1], as $p_{0n}(t) = P[N(t) = n|N(0) = 0], n = 0,1,2,\ldots,$

.

$$p_{0n}(t) = \frac{\left(\lambda \int_0^t [1-G(v)]dv\right)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda \int_0^t [1-G(v)]dv}, n = 0,1,2, \ldots \qquad (1.2)$$

So, the transient distribution when the system is initially empty, is Poisson with mean:

$$\lambda \int_0^t [1 - G(v)] \, dv.$$

The stationary distribution is the limit distribution[1]:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} p_{0n}(t) = \frac{\rho^n}{n!} e^{-\rho}, n = 1,2, \ldots \qquad (1.3).$$

This queue system, as any other, has a sequence of busy periods and idle periods. A busy period begins when a customer arrives at the system finding it empty.
Be

$$p_{1'n}(t) = P[N(t) = n | N(0) = 1'], n = 0,1,2, \ldots \qquad (1.4)$$

meaning $N(0) = 1'$ that the time origin is an instant at which a customer arrives at the system, jumping the number of customers from 0 to 1. That is: a busy period begins.
At $t \geq 0$ possibly [6]:

-The customer that arrived at the initial instant either abandoned the queue system with probability $G(t)$, or goes on being served, with probability $1 - G(t)$;

-The other servers, that were unoccupied at the time origin, either go on unoccupied or occupied with 1, 2, ... customers, being the probabilities $p_{0n}(t)$, $n = 0,1,2, \ldots$

Both subsystems, the one of the initial customer and the one of the servers initially unoccupied, are independent and so, see [2,6]:

$$p_{1'0}(t) = p_{00}(t)G(t)$$
$$p_{1'n}(t) = p_{0n}(t)G(t) + p_{0n-1}(t)\left(1 - G(t)\right), n = 1,2, \ldots \qquad (1.5).$$

It is easy to see that:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} p_{1'n}(t) = \frac{\rho^n}{n!} e^{-\rho}, n = 0,1,2, \ldots (1.6).$$

Denoting $\mu(1', t)$ and $\mu(0, t)$ the distributions (1.5) and (1.2) mean values, respectively,

$$\mu(1', t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n p_{1'n}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nG(t)p_{00}(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n p_{0n-1}(t)\left(1 - G(t)\right) =$$
$$G(t)\mu(0, t) + \left(1 - G(t)\right) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j+1) \, p_{0j}(t) = \mu(0, t) + \left(1 - G(t)\right),$$

---

[1] $\alpha = \int_0^{\infty} [1 - G(v)] \, dv$, *since the service time is a positive random variable.*

resulting

$$\mu(1',t) = 1 - G(t) + \lambda \int_0^t [1 - G(v)]\, dv \ (1.7).$$

As, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^2\, p_{1'n}(t) = G(t) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2\, p_{0n}(t) + \left(1 - G(t)\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2\, p_{0n-1}(t) = G(t)\left(\mu^2(0,t) + \mu(0,t)\right) + \left(1 - G(t)\right)\left(\mu^2(0,t) + 3\mu(0,t) + 1\right) = \mu^2(0,t) + \left(3 - 2G(t)\right)\mu(0,t) + 1 - G(t),$

denoting $V(1',t)$ the variance associated to the distribution defined by (1.5), it is obtained [6]:

$$V(1',t) = \mu(0,t) + G(t) - G^2(t)\ (1.8).$$

The main target is to study $\mu(1',t)$ and $V(1',t)$ as time functions. It will be seen that, in its behavior as time functions, plays an important role the hazard rate function service time given by, see for instance [3,4,5,6],

$$h(t) = \frac{g(t)}{1 - G(t)}\ (1.9)$$

where $g(\cdot)$ is the density associated to $G(\cdot)$.

Two differential equations, induced by this study, are considered allowing very interesting conclusions.

This work is an extended, updated, and corrected version of [6].

2 Application in Epidemic and Unemployment Situations

M$|G|\infty$, systems have great applicability in modeling real-life problems. See, for instance, references [4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12]. The presented in this paper are very interesting and the results that will be shown in the sequence are particularly adequate to its study:

-Epidemic

In this case the customers are the people hit by an epidemic. They arrive at the system when they fall sick, and their service time is the time during which they are sick [6]. The time the first one falls sick, may be the beginning of an epidemic, is the beginning of a busy period. An idle period is a period of disease absence. The service hazard rate function is the rate at which they get cured. See [6,13].

-Unemployment

Now the customers are the unemployed in a certain activity. They arrive at the system when they lose their jobs, and their service time is the time during which they are unoccupied [6]. An idle period is a full employment period. A busy period begins with

the first worker losing the job. The hazard rate function is the rate at which the unemployed workers turn employees. See [6,14].

In both cases (1.4) is applicable. It must be checked if the people fall sick or lose their jobs according to a Poisson process. The failing of this hypothesis is more expectable in the unemployment situation. In some situations, maybe it is more adequate to consider a mechanism of batch arrivals [6].
The beginning of the epidemic or of the unemployment periods can be determined today with a great precision.
The results that will be presented can help to forecast the evolution of the situations.
Finally, it is necessary to adjust the time distributions adequate to the disease and unemployment periods. In this last case the situation may not be the same for the various activities [6].

3 $\mu(1', t)$ Study as Time Function

Proposition 3.1:

If $G(t) < 1, t > 0$ continuous and differentiable and

$$h(t) \leq \lambda, t > 0 \tag{3.1}$$

$\mu(1', t)$ is non- decreasing.

Dem.:

It is enough to note, according to (1.7), that $\frac{d}{dt}\mu(1', t) = (1 - G(t))(\lambda - h(t))$. □

Obs.:

-If the rate at which the services end is lesser or equal than the customers arrival rate, $\mu(1', t)$ is non- decreasing [6].

- **Epidemic**: If the rate at which people get cured is lesser or equal than the rate at which they fall sick, the mean number of sick people is a non-decreasing time function.

- **Unemployment**: If the rate at which the workers lose their jobs is lesser than the rate at which they turn employees, the mean number of unemployed people is a nondecreasing time function [6].
-For the M|M|∞[2] system (3.1) is equivalent to

$$\rho \geq 1 \tag{3.2}.$$

-$\lim_{t \to \infty} \mu(1', t) = \rho$.

---

[2] *The second M means exponential service times.*

-**Epidemic**: If an epidemic lasts a very long time, the mean number of sick people will be closer and closer from the traffic intensity.

-**Unemployment:** If an unemployment period lasts a very long time, the mean number of unemployed people will be closer and closer from the traffic intensity [6].

Defining $\xi(\cdot)$ through the differential equation (note that (3.1) can be written in form $h(t) - \lambda \leq 0, t > 0$)

$$\xi(t) = h(t) - \lambda \tag{3.3}$$

it is obtained as solution the following collection of service time distribution functions:

$$G(t) = 1 - \left(1 - G(0)\right)e^{-\lambda t - \int_0^t \xi(u)du}, t \geq 0, \frac{\int_0^t \xi(u)du}{t} \geq -\lambda \tag{3.4}.$$

So, evidently,

Proposition 3.2:

If $\xi(t) = 0$

$$G(t) = 1 - \left(1 - G(0)\right)e^{-\lambda t}, t \geq 0 \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\mu(1', t) = 1 - G(0) = \rho, t \geq 0. \; \square$$

Obs.:

- **Epidemic**: If the time that a patient is sick is a random variable, with a distribution function given by (3.5) the mean number of sick people is equal to the traffic intensity.
-**Unemployment**: If the time of unemployment is a random variable, with a distribution function given by (3.5) the mean number of unemployed people is equal to the traffic intensity [6].

Exemplifying for some service time distributions:

-Deterministic with value α

$$\mu(1', t) = \begin{cases} 1 + \lambda t, t < \alpha \\ \rho, t \geq \alpha \end{cases} \tag{3.6},$$

-Exponential

$$\mu(1', t) = \rho + (1 - \rho)e^{-\frac{t}{\alpha}} \tag{3.7},$$

-Collection[3] $G(t) = 1 - \frac{(1-e^{-\rho})(\lambda+\beta)}{\lambda e^{-\rho}(e^{(\lambda+\beta)t}-1)+\lambda}, t \geq 0, -\lambda \leq \beta \leq \frac{\lambda}{e^{\rho}-1}$

$$\mu(1't) = \frac{(1-e^{-\rho})(\lambda+\beta)}{\lambda e^{-\rho}(e^{(\lambda+\beta)t}-1)+\lambda} + \rho - ln\left(1 + (e^{\rho}-1)e^{-(\lambda+\beta)t}\right) \qquad (3.8).$$

4. $V(1', t)$ Study as Time Function

Proposition 4.1

If $G(t) < 1, t > 0$, continuous and differentiable and

$$h(t) \geq \frac{\lambda}{2G(t)-1} \qquad (4.1)$$

$V(1', t)$ is non-decreasing.

Dem.:

It is enough to note, according to (1.8), that:

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(1', t) = \lambda\left(1 - G(t)\right) + g(t) - 2G(t)g(t) = \lambda\left(1 - G(t)\right) + g(t)\left(1 - 2G(t)\right)$$

$$= \left(1 - G(t)\right)\left(h(t)\left(1 - 2G(t)\right) + \lambda\right),$$

from where it follows the condition (4.1). □
Obs:

-Obviously $2G(t) - 1 > 0 \Leftrightarrow G(t) > \frac{1}{2}, t > 0$. If this does not happen the condition (4.1) is trivial.

-Epidemic: If the rate at which people get cured, the rate at which they fall sick and the sickness duration distribution function hold (4.1) the variance of the number of sick people is a non- decreasing time function [6].

-Unemployment: If the rate at which the workers lose their jobs, the rate at which they turn employees and the unemployment duration distribution function hold (4.1) the variance of the number of sick people is a non- decreasing time function [6].

-$\lim\limits_{t\to\infty}V(1', t) = \rho$.

---

[3] *For this collection of service time distributions, the busy period is exponentially distributed with an atom at the origin, see* [15]: $B^{\beta}(t) = 1 - \frac{\lambda+\beta}{\lambda}(1 - e^{-\rho})e^{-e^{-\rho}(\lambda+\beta)t}, t \geq 0, -\lambda \leq \beta \leq \frac{\lambda}{e^{\rho}-1}$ *(3.9).*

-Epidemic: If an epidemic lasts a very long time, the number of sick people variance will be closer and closer from the traffic intensity.

- **Unemployment:** If an unemployment period lasts a very long time, the mean number of unemployed people will be closer and closer from the traffic intensity.

-**Epidemic:** If an epidemic lasts a very long time the number of sic people is distributed according to a Poisson distribution with mean ρ, see (1.6).

• **Unemployment:** If an unemployment period lasts a very long time the mean number of unemployed people is Poisson distributed with mean ρ, see (1.6).

Defining $\zeta(\cdot)$ as $\zeta(t) = h(t) - \frac{\lambda}{2G(t)-1}$, (4.1) is equivalent to $h(t) - \frac{\lambda}{2G(t)-1} \geq 0$, it results the following differential equation in $G(\cdot)$:

$$\frac{dG(t)}{dt} = \left(\zeta(t) - \frac{\lambda}{2G(t)-1}\right)(1 - G(t)), t \geq 0 \tag{4.2}.$$

Note that $G(t) = 1, t \geq 0$ is a trivial solution of equation (4.2). And, for this case, it is easy to check after (1.8) that $V(1', t) = 0, t \geq 0$.

Proposition 4.2:

If $\zeta(t) - \frac{\lambda}{2G(t)-1} = k(\text{const.}) > 0$, the solution of equation (4.2) is

$$G(t) = 1 - (1 - G(0))e^{-kt}, t \geq 0 \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\zeta(t) = \frac{\lambda}{2(1 - G(0))e^{-Kt} - 1} + k \tag{4.4}.$$

In consequence

$$V(1', t) = \frac{\lambda}{k}(1 - G(0))(1 - e^{-kt}) + (1 - G(0)e^{-kt} - (1 - G(0)^2 e^{-2kt} \tag{4.5}. \square$$

Obs.:

-After (4.5) is concluded that $\lim_{t \to \infty} V(1', t) = \frac{\lambda}{k}(1 - G(0)) = \rho$.

-With $k = 0$, $\zeta(t) = \frac{\lambda}{2G(t)-1}$ and $G(t) = 1, t \geq 0$ is also the solution of (4.2), resulting

then that $\zeta(t) = -\lambda$ and $V(1', t) = 0, t \geq 0$.

For $\zeta(t) = 0$ the following proposition holds:

Proposition 4.3:

If $G(.)$ is implicitly defined as

$$\frac{1-G(t)}{1-G(0)}e^{2(G(t)-G(0))} = e^{-\lambda t}, t \geq 0 \tag{4.6}$$

$$V(1',t) = \rho, t \geq 0. \; \square$$

Obs.:

-The density associated to (4.6) is

$$g(t) = -\frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda t}(1-G(0))}{(1-2G(t))e^{2(G(t)-G(0))}} \tag{4.7}$$

-After (4.7), denoting S the associated random variable, it is easy to see that, with $(0) > \frac{1}{2}$, to ensure that $2G(0) - 1 > 0$,

$$\frac{(1-G(0))n!e^{-2(1-G(0))}}{\lambda^n} \leq E[S^n] \leq \frac{(1-G(0))n!}{(2G(0)-1)\lambda^n}, n = 1,2,\ldots \tag{4.8}$$

Exemplifying for some service time distributions

-Deterministic with value α

$$V(1',t) = \begin{cases} \lambda t, t < \alpha \\ \rho, t \geq \alpha \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

-Exponential

$$V(1',t) = \rho\left(1 - e^{-t/\alpha}\right) + e^{-\frac{t}{\lambda}} + e^{-\frac{2t}{\alpha}} \tag{4.10}$$

$$-G(t) = 1 - \frac{(1-e^{-\rho})(\lambda+\beta)}{\lambda e^{-\rho}(e^{(\lambda+\beta)t}-1)+\lambda}, t \geq 0, -\lambda \leq \beta \leq \frac{\lambda}{e^\rho-1}$$

$$V(1',t) = \rho - \ln\left(1 + (e^\rho - 1)e^{-(\lambda+\beta)t}\right) + \frac{(1-e^{-\rho})(\lambda+\beta)}{\lambda e^{-\rho}(e^{(\lambda+\beta)t} - 1) + \lambda} +$$

$$\left(\frac{(1-e^{-\rho})(\lambda+\beta)}{\lambda e^{-\rho}(e^{(\lambda+\beta)t}-1)+\lambda}\right)^2 \tag{4.11}$$

5 Conclusions

The study provided a comprehensive analysis of the transient probabilities of the $M|G|\infty$ queueing system, considering the time origin at the beginning of a busy period instant. By conditioning the service duration of the first customer, we were able to

effectively study the behavior of the mean and variance over time, with an emphasis on the service time hazard rate function.

This model has potential applications in various real-world scenarios, such as epidemics and unemployment. The model's application in these areas offers insights into potential mitigating measures, including vaccination and medical care in epidemics, and government support and training in unemployment. However, the model is not directly applicable to contagious epidemics, as it would require varying arrival rates that may not yield results as consistent or insightful as those presented in this work.

Overall, this research provides valuable theoretical groundwork for the application of queueing theory to practical challenges, paving the way for future research and advancements in the field.

Acknowledgement

Competing Interests

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

References

[1]   Takács L. *An introduction to queueing theory*. Oxford University Press. 1962.

[2]   Ferreira MAM. Comportamento transeunte e período de ocupação de sistemas de filas de espera sem espera. PhD Thesis. Iscte-Instituto Universitário de Lisboa. 1995.

[3]   Ross S. *Stochastic processes*. Wiley, New York; 1983.

[4]   arXiv:2109.10435 [eess.SY].

[5]   Ferreira MAM. ICEV Recycling in Environments with Limited Conventional Energy: Insights from a Queuing Model. Theory and applications of engineering research. March 2024;7(7):145-159.
DOI:10.9734/bpi/taer/v7/7430B

[6]   Ferreira MAM, Andrade M. M| G|∞ system transient behavior with time origin at the beginning of a busy period mean and variance. Aplimat - Journal of Applied Mathematics. 2010;3(3):213-221.

[7] Kelly FP. Reversibility and stochastic networks. New York. John Wiley & Sons; 1979.

[8] Hershey JC, Weiss EN, Morris AC. A Stochastic service network model with application to hospital facilities. Operations Research. 1981; 29:1-22.

[9] Ferreira MAM. Redes de Filas de Espera. MSc Thesis. IST-Instituto Superior Técnico. Lisboa; 1988.

[10] Carrillo MJ. Extensions of Palm's theorem: A review. Management Science. 37. Nº 6. 1991;739-744.

[11] Ferreira MAM, Andrade M, Filipe JA. Networks of queues with infinite servers in each node applied to the management of a Two Echelons Repair System. China-USA Business Review. 2009;8(8):39-45 and 62. ISSN: 1537-1514.

[12] Metz W. Electronic Warfare Receiver Resource Management and Optimization. PhD Thesis. Walden University-College of Management and Technology. Minneapolis, USA; 2016.

[13] Ferreira MAM. M|G|∞ Queue Systems in the Epidemic Period Study. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, ISSN: 1312-885X. 2018;8(73-76):3641-3646. DOI:10.12988/ams.2014.45328

[14] Ferreira MAM. Unemployment period approach with infinite servers queue. Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing. March 2017;13(3):134-136. DOI:10.17265/1548-6583/2017.03.006

[15] Ferreira MAM. Study about Riccati Equation in an Infinite Servers Queue System with Poisson Arrivals Occupation Study. Novel Research Aspects in Mathematical and Computer Science. April 2022;1(20):22-26. DOI: 10.9734/bpi/nramcs/v1/2039B

[16] Duffield NG. Exponential bounds for queues with Markovian arrivals. Queueing Systems. 1994 Sep; 17:413-30.