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#### Abstract

Indeed, Indonesia has many areas which are dominated by clay soils. Including in the city of Bontang, East Kalimantan. This research focuses on mall and hotel project located at that location. With regard to construction in this project there is a case of shifting of the foundation pile due to several factors, including the soil embakment factor which causes additional loads outside the design of the foundation pile and the clay soil type factor with high moisture content and plasticity, as well as the impact load factor caused by the driving machine foundation pile. So, in this study, an analysis of the foundation piles before and after the addition of the load was carried out using the p-y curve method to find the maximum lateral load. The two a nalyzes will be compared and searched for the lateral bearing capacity of the two piles and later can improve the case or prevent similar cases in other projects.


## 1 Introduction

The discussion of this research, it focuses on the case of the shift of the foundation piles in the Mall and Hotel project in Bontang to a distance of approximately 1.5 me ter due to the addition of unplanned loads when designing the foundation piles. The load in question is the 5 meter high project land embankment due to the excavated soil that is not removed or moved because of the soil condition is too plastic so that it is difficult to dispose or transfer the excavated soil. Then there is also an additional load in the form of an impact load from the heavy pile driving equipment, namely the 120 Ton Hydraulic Static Pile Driver (HSPD). If the project is continued without any repairs, the building above it will not be able to withstand the load, because the foundation piles are tilted due to the shift of the piles. For this reason, it is necessary to calculate the maximum lateralload that can be received by the foundation piles on the project. This study uses the p-y curve method for calculating the maximum lateral load, besides that there is also a calculation of the addition of lateral loads to the pile due to soil embankment at the project site and also the addition of lateralloads due to
the movement of the piling machine (HSPD) by finding the magnitude of the impact load using the method found. by Boussinesq.

## 1.1 p-y curve Method

In 2010, Georgiadis proposed a p-y curve construction approach compared to the Finite Element approach. Georgiadis modified an equation that has often been adopted to interpret the results of the pile test (Georgiadis \& Georgiadis, 2010) This curve has an initial slope of Ki and is described by the hyperbolic equation in equation 1 .
$p=\frac{y}{\frac{1}{k i}+\frac{y}{p u}}$
Determining the value of Pu based on the depth of the point that is considered important in the construction of the p-y curve. Based on the results of research by Georgiadis (2010), Pu can be calculated by equation 2 .
$p u=N p . C u . D$
Where Np is the bearing capacity factor which can be calculated by equation 3 .
$p=N p u-(N p u-N p o \cos \theta) e^{-\lambda\left(\frac{Z}{D}\right) /(1+\tan \theta)}$
Where Npu is the ultimate lateral bearing capacity factor which can be calculated by equation 4 , Npo is the surface bearing capacity factor for horizontal soils, $\lambda$ is a dimensionless factor, z is the depth point under consideration and D is the pile diameter. Npo and $\lambda$ are derived from the analysis of the finite element method conducted by Georgiadis (2010) and depend on the pile-soil adhesion factor $(\alpha)$.
$N p u=\pi+2 \Delta+2 \cos \Delta+4\left(\cos \frac{\Delta}{2}+\sin \frac{\Delta}{2}\right)$.
Which $\Delta=\sin -1 \alpha$.
The Npo value can be calculated by equation 5 .
$N p o=2+1.5 \alpha$
And the value $\lambda$ of can be calculated by equation 6 .
$\lambda=0.55-0.15 \alpha$.
The pile-soil adhesion factor $(\alpha)$ can be determined from the cu and $z / D$ parameters where z is the depth of the pile under consideration and D is the diameter of the pile with the graph shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1. Graph of relationship between $\alpha$ and cu ( kPa )
(Georgiadis \& Georgiadis, 2010)
In 1961 Vesic proposed the correlation relation of the subgrade reaction ks for beams in elastic half-space to the elastic properties of the beam and soil. (Rajashree \& Sitharam, 2001) proposed that the initial stiffness ki of the p-y curve is twice the value of ks determined based on the proposed Vesic correlation as shown in equation 7.
$k i=\frac{1.3 E i}{1-\mu^{2}}\left(\frac{E i D^{4}}{E p I p}\right)^{\frac{1}{12}}$ $\qquad$
Where Ei is the initial modulus of elasticity, is the poisson ratio of the soil, Ep is the modulus of elasticity of the pile, Ip is the moment of inertia of the pile section and $D$ is the pile diameter.

### 1.2 Vertical Stress Due to Point Load (Boussinesq Metod)

Boussinesq (1883) solved the problem of the magnitude of the stress produced at each point which is homogeneous, isotropic due to the point load applied to the soil surface.


Figure 2. Vertical Stress Due to Point Load (Das \& Sobhan, Principles of Geotechnical Engineering, 2014)
$\Delta \sigma_{z}=\frac{3 P z^{3}}{2 \pi L^{5}}=\frac{3 P}{2 \pi} \frac{z^{3}}{\left(r^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{\frac{5}{3}}}$
$\mathrm{r}=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}$.
$\mathrm{L}=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}=\sqrt{r^{2}+z^{2}}$.
Description:
$\Delta \sigma_{z}$ : Vertical Stress ( $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ )
P : Large Applied Load $\mathrm{x}_{2}(\mathrm{kN})$

## 2 Research Methods

In general, the procedures carried out in this study are as follows:

1. The initial stage is to determine the land data to be used.
2. The next step is to check the types of soil and their properties through the results of soil correlation
3. Then carried out a literature study on the shift of the foundation pile and the lateral bearing capacity of the pile.
4. The next stage is to design the foundation piles before and after adding the load.
5. The next step is to analyze the lateralbearing capacity of the pile using the py curve method.
6. Then analyze the lateralbearing capacity compared to the lateralload.
7. Next, check the pile foundation that has been added to the load and bef ore it is added.
8. The next stage is to check the applicable terms and conditions. If it does not meet the requirements, then there is a need for additional reinforcement considerations or options and will be rechecked whether it meets the requirements or not.
9. The last stage is to formulate conclusions that can be useful for future planners.

## Pile Fondation Design Data



Figure 4. Building Layout

Table 3. Efficiency Pile Group on Axle J

| Joint | Force <br> $(\mathrm{kN})$ | n Pile | Efficiency | Force / Pile <br> $(\mathrm{kN})$ | Force of <br> Pile | Need <br> to Add <br> Pile |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 31.7769 | 2 | 0.8301 | 15.88845 | 28.5235 | No |
| 41 | 58.4156 | 3 | 0.8867 | 19.47186667 | 30.4700 | No |
| 81 | 58.1832 | 3 | 0.8867 | 19.3944 | 30.4700 | No |
| 128 | 58.2177 | 3 | 0.8867 | 19.4059 | 30.4700 | No |
| 172 | 58.2379 | 3 | 0.8867 | 19.41263333 | 30.4700 | No |
| 218 | 58.2394 | 3 | 0.8867 | 19.41313333 | 30.4700 | No |
| 261 | 58.2326 | 3 | 0.8867 | 19.41086667 | 30.4700 | No |
| 301 | 58.2154 | 3 | 0.8867 | 19.40513333 | 30.4700 | No |
| 341 | 58.1785 | 3 | 0.8867 | 19.39283333 | 30.4700 | No |
| 381 | 58.1337 | 3 | 0.8867 | 19.3779 | 30.4700 | No |
| 421 | 58.3549 | 3 | 0.8867 | 19.45163333 | 30.4700 | No |
| 461 | 31.7021 | 2 | 0.8301 | 15.85105 | 28.5235 | No |

Table 4. Efficiency Pile Group on Axle I

| Joint | Force <br> $(\mathrm{kN})$ | n Pile | Efficiency | Force / Pile <br> $(\mathrm{kN})$ | Force of <br> 1 Pile | Need to <br> Add <br> Pile |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.9585 | 4 | 0.7718 | 0.239625 | 28.5235 | No |
| 43 | 0.8714 | 5 | 0.9010 | 0.17428 | 30.4700 | No |
| 83 | 0.6706 | 5 | 0.9010 | 0.13412 | 30.4700 | No |
| 130 | 0.7238 | 5 | 0.9010 | 0.14476 | 30.4700 | No |
| 174 | 0.7352 | 5 | 0.9010 | 0.14704 | 30.4700 | No |
| 220 | 0.7525 | 5 | 0.9010 | 0.1505 | 30.4700 | No |
| 263 | 0.7893 | 5 | 0.9010 | 0.15786 | 30.4700 | No |
| 303 | 0.7933 | 5 | 0.9010 | 0.15866 | 30.4700 | No |
| 343 | 0.7974 | 5 | 0.9010 | 0.15948 | 30.4700 | No |
| 383 | 0.7407 | 5 | 0.9010 | 0.14814 | 30.4700 | No |
| 423 | 0.8845 | 5 | 0.9010 | 0.1769 | 30.4700 | No |
| 463 | 0.9256 | 4 | 0.7718 | 0.2314 | 28.5235 | No |

## 3 Result

### 3.1 Recap of Maximum Lateral Load Calculation Using the p-y curve Method

This method requires the following data that are usefulin calculations:
$\mathrm{Cu}=84 \mathrm{kPa}$
S'vo $=87 \mathrm{kPa}$
$\mathrm{J}=0.5$
e50 $=0.02$
$\mathrm{K}=8140 \mathrm{kPa} / \mathrm{m}$
Nc $=9$
$\mathrm{Pu}=302.4 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$
Depth $\mathrm{z}=30 \mathrm{~m}$
$\mathrm{B}=0.4 \mathrm{~m}$
k 'h $=7560 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
k 'hi $=244200 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$\mathrm{yc}=20 \mathrm{~mm}$
$8 \mathrm{yc}=160 \mathrm{~mm}$
From the data above, proceed to the calculation and the results and graphs of the p-y curve are as follows:

Table 5. Results of Calculations Using the p-y curve Method

| $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{mm})$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 55.70256 |
| 2 | 70.18082 |
| 3 | 80.33699 |
| 4 | 88.4223 |
| 5 | 95.25003 |
| 6 | 101.2183 |
| 7 | 106.5552 |

From the table above, the allowable deflection is 6.35 mm with a force $(\mathrm{P})$ of 10.3089 tons and divided by a safety factor of 3 , so the maximum lateral force of 3,436 tons is used.

### 3.2 Summary of Calculation of Added Load Due to Land Embankment

After the project took place and the pile shift occurred, there were several speculations about the cause of the pile shift which had previously been designed and calculated that the pile was strong enough to withstand lateral loads. The addition of latera 1 loads includes soil embankment at the project site with a height of about 5 m . So in
this study, the calculation of the addition of lateral loads caused by soil embankment was carried out.


Figure 4. Illustration of Calculation of Added Load Due to Landfill

Assuming the width of the land is 1 m .
Volume $\quad=0.5 \times 5 \times 1 \times 1$

$$
=2.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}
$$

$\lambda \quad=1.8 \mathrm{Ton} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$\mathrm{P} \quad=1.8 \times 2 . .5$

$$
=4.5 \mathrm{Ton}
$$

Momen $\quad=\frac{4.5 \times 2}{3}$

$$
=3 \text { Ton } \mathrm{m}
$$

P Lateral $=3$ Ton (Left direction)
So the addition of lateralload due to soil embankment is 3 Tons to the left.

### 3.3 Summary of Additional Load Due to Impact Load with Boussinesq Method

The addition of lateralloads occurs due to soil movement due to the movement of piling machines such as HSPD and others. This study focuses on the impact of the HSPD Jacking Pile machine with a totalmachine weight of 54 Tons.

The calculation refers to the Boussinesq method where this method can solve the problem of the magnitude of the stress at each point on the ground surface, following the calculation:

Due to Impact Load (HSPD Machine):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{r}_{1}=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}=\sqrt{0^{2}+0^{2}}=0 \\
& \mathrm{~L}_{1}=\sqrt{r^{2}+z^{2}}=\sqrt{0^{2}+2^{2}}=2 \\
& \Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{z}}(1)=\frac{3 P z^{3}}{2 \pi L^{5}}=\frac{3 \times 529.74 \times 2^{3}}{2 \pi \times 2^{5}}=63.233 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on the conditions at this project location, the depth used is 2 m , because at this depth the most extreme pile shift occurs, and refers to Boussinesq's theory that the pressure generated by the impact load is getting smaller and smaller. Furthermore, it is necessary to find the addition of lateral loads due to the impact load by means of stress multiplied by area.

Additional LateralLoad:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P} & =\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{z}}(1) \times 0.4 \times 2 \\
& =63.233 \times 0.4 \times 2 \\
& =50.586 \mathrm{kN}=5.157 \text { Ton (left direction) }
\end{aligned}
$$

So the totaladditional load due to soil embankment and also due to impact load is 8,157 Tons to the left.

### 3.4 Summary of Lateral Efficiency Results of Axle J and Axle I After Adding Load

After calculating the addition of lateral loads to the piles, these loads are included in the calculation of the efficiency of the lateral piles and compared with the results of the p-y curve calculations that have been obtained. Then it will be checked whether the foundation pile is able to withstand the totallateral load that occurs or needs to be added reinforcement or additional pile foundation.

Table 6. Efficiency Pile Group on Axle J After Adding Load

| Joint | Force <br> $(\mathrm{kN})$ | n <br> Pile | Effi- <br> ciency | Force / Pile <br> $(\mathrm{kN})$ | Force of 1 <br> Pile | Need to <br> Add Pile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 111.7971 | 2 | 0.8301 | 55.89855 | 28.5235 | Yes |
| 41 | 138.4358 | 3 | 0.8867 | 46.14526667 | 30.4700 | Yes |
| 81 | 138.2034 | 3 | 0.8867 | 46.0678 | 30.4700 | Yes |
| 128 | 138.2379 | 3 | 0.8867 | 46.0793 | 30.4700 | Yes |
| 172 | 138.2581 | 3 | 0.8867 | 46.08603333 | 30.4700 | Yes |
| 218 | 138.2596 | 3 | 0.8867 | 46.08653333 | 30.4700 | Yes |
| 261 | 138.2528 | 3 | 0.8867 | 46.08426667 | 30.4700 | Yes |
| 301 | 138.2356 | 3 | 0.8867 | 46.07853333 | 30.4700 | Yes |
| 341 | 138.1987 | 3 | 0.8867 | 46.06623333 | 30.4700 | Yes |
| 381 | 138.1539 | 3 | 0.8867 | 46.0513 | 30.4700 | Yes |
| 421 | 138.3751 | 3 | 0.8867 | 46.12503333 | 30.4700 | Yes |
| 461 | 111.7223 | 2 | 0.8301 | 55.86115 | 28.5235 | Yes |

Table 7. Efficiency Pile Group on Axle I After Adding Load

$\left.$| Joint | Force <br> $(\mathrm{kN})$ | n <br> Pile | Effi- <br> ciency | Force <br> $(\mathrm{kN})$ | Pile | Force of 1 <br> Pile |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Need to |
| :--- |
| Add Pile | \right\rvert\,

## 4 Conclusions and Suggestions

### 4.1 Conclusions

Based on the results of the analysis that has been carried out, the following conclusions are obtained:

1. Based on the soil data obtained, the soil type is clay with high plasticity and has a small bearing capacity.
2. Based on calculations using the $p-y$ curve method, the maximum lateral bearing capacity for foundation piles with a diameter of 0.4 m and a depth of 30 m is 3,436 tons.
3. Based on the building data obtained, the calculation results for the maximum lateral pile capacity are sufficient to withstand the load that occurs.
4. After the analysis, the results showed that the pile shift occurred due to the addition of an unplanned load, namely the load of the soil embankment and also the impact load of the HSPD piling machine.
5. Based on the calculation of the additionallateral load from the soil embankment is 3 Tons to the left.
6. Based on the calculation using the Boussinesq method, the additionallateral load from the impact load is 5,157 tons to the left.
7. The totaladditional load outside the plan is 8,157 tons.
8. Based on the calculation of group pile efficiency and after adding a load of 8,157 Tons, in Axle J all piles are unable to withstand the totallateral load and in Axle I there are 2 piles that are unable to withstand the totallateralload, causing a pile shift in these two axles to occur.

### 4.2 $\quad$ Suggestions

Based on the results of research and analysis conducted, the following are suggestions to complete this study:

1. The results of the soil test must be reviewed in order to be able to determine with certainty the types of soil and how the properties of the soil. In this project, the type of clay soil with high plasticity properties. So it should be repaired first.
2. The recommended soil improvement is jet grouting, by adding cement material into the soil so that the soil becomes denser and harder. This method also does not cause vibration or noise.
3. If the project is already running without any improvement, it should be strengthened by adding drill piles into the existing pile group foundation.
4. Do not stockpile too much soil at the project site and soil filling should be carried out gradually and removed gradually as well.
5. In the field conditions of this project and based on the type and nature of the soil, a drilled pile foundation should be used, so as not to cause an impact load that can cause additionallateralloads.
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