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#### Abstract

This note is about the construction of a utility function through the resolution of an economic problem.
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## 1.Introduction

Utility concept comes from Bernoulli (1738) and has been related to Economics since the very beginning. In the 18th century, Laplace discussed the Bernoulli principle and its relevance to insurance systems. Later, Barrois (1832) presented a theory of fire insurance based on Laplace's work and in the Bernoulli principle. Just in the middle of the 20th century, utility theory reappeared with the von Neumann and Morgenstern's (1947) utility function derived from a set of axioms governing a preference ordering.

Due to Borch (see Borch [1] and also Borch [2]), risk theory has grown beyond ruin theory.
Recently and particularly, utility functions have been applied also in the context of neuroeconomics. The utility theory and the theory of preferences have been applied to study human behavior and to work the decision theory. Often, these theories, working in the behavior theories area, are associated to game theory. For example, neuroscientific research apparently proves the testable proposition of the existence of social preferences in public goods' games (see Neumärker [3], pp. 68). In this paper, a formulation on the area of utility functions is made through mathematical tools, deducting a general function for utility and presenting some possible examples.

## 2.The Formulation

Consider a Universe with $n$ goods $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and an individual consumer with income $Y$. Suppose that this consumer tries to maximize its utility function

$$
U=U\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) .
$$

[^0]The mathematical formulation of the problem is, evidently,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Max.} U\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
\text { s.to: } x_{1} P_{x_{1}}+x_{2} P_{x_{2}}+\cdots+x_{n} P_{x_{n}}=Y
\end{gathered}
$$

being $P_{x_{i}}$ the price of the good $i, i=1,2, \ldots, n$.

It is a constrained optimization problem. The Lagrange's multipliers method may be applied in its solution (see for instance Ferreira and Amaral [4]). The Lagrange function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, \lambda\right)=U\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\lambda\left(Y-x_{1} P_{x_{1}}-x_{2} P_{x_{2}}-\cdots-x_{n} P_{x_{n}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first order conditions are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{1}}-\lambda P_{x_{1}}=0 \\
\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{2}}-\lambda P_{x_{2}}=0 \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{n}}-\lambda P_{x_{n}}=0 \\
x_{1} P_{x_{1}}+x_{2} P_{x_{2}}+\cdots+x_{n} P_{x_{n}}=Y
\end{gathered}
$$

Using the first and the last conditions it is obtained, considering $x_{1} \neq 0$,

$$
\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{1}}-\lambda\left(\frac{Y}{x_{1}}-\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}} P_{x_{2}}-\cdots-\frac{x_{n}}{x_{1}} P_{x_{n}}\right)=0
$$

or

$$
x_{1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{1}}+\lambda x_{2} P_{x_{2}}+\cdots+\lambda x_{n} P_{x_{n}}=\lambda Y
$$

As $\lambda P_{x_{i}}=\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{i}}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, the former equation becomes

$$
x_{1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{1}}+x_{2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{2}}+\cdots+x_{n} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{n}}=\lambda Y
$$

and noting that

$$
\lambda=\frac{1}{P_{x_{1}}} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{1}}
$$

it assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{1}-\frac{Y}{P_{x_{1}}}\right) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{1}}+x_{2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{2}}+\cdots+x_{n} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{n}}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a first order homogeneous partial derivatives equation (see Ferreira and Amaral [5]). Solving:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=F\left(\frac{Y}{P_{x_{1}}+P_{x_{2}}+\cdots+P_{x_{n}}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Notes:

- If $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ solve (2), $c_{1} U_{1}+c_{2} U_{2}, c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ also solve it: $\left(x_{1}-\right.$ $\left.\frac{Y}{P_{x_{1}}}\right)\left(c_{1} \frac{\partial U_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+c_{2} \frac{\partial U_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)+x_{2}\left(c_{1} \frac{\partial U_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}+c_{2} \frac{\partial U_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\right)+\cdots+x_{n}\left(c_{1} \frac{\partial U_{1}}{\partial x_{n}}+c_{2} \frac{\partial U_{2}}{\partial x_{n}}\right)=$ $c_{1}\left(\left(x_{1}-\frac{Y}{P_{x_{1}}}\right) \frac{\partial U_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+x_{2} \frac{\partial U_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}+\cdots+x_{n} \frac{\partial U_{1}}{\partial x_{n}}\right)+c_{2}\left(\left(x_{1}-\frac{Y}{P_{x_{1}}}\right) \frac{\partial U_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}+x_{2} \frac{\partial U_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}+\right.$ $\left.\cdots+x_{n} \frac{\partial U_{2}}{\partial x_{n}}\right)=c_{1} 0+c_{2} 0=0$. So the functions' solutions fulfill the linearity property and, of course, a convex combination of solutions is also a solution.
- $\quad F($.$) is any differentiable function.$
- $\quad Y=x_{1} P_{x_{1}}+x_{2} P_{x_{2}}+\cdots+x_{n} P_{x_{n}}$ is the income constraint.
- It is easy to see that (3) is a solution of (2) substituting directly.
- The expression (3) evidences the utility functional dependency from the whole goods and the income.


## 3.Some Examples

One concretization of (3) may be

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\alpha^{\frac{Y}{P_{x_{1}}+P_{x_{2}}+\cdots+P_{x_{n}}}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which $U(1,1, \ldots, 1)=\alpha$. That is, $\alpha$ is a standard utility: the value of the utility when unitary quantities of every good are used.

Defining $z_{i}=\alpha^{x_{i}}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, (4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)=U\left(\log _{\alpha} z_{1}, \log _{\alpha} z_{2}, \ldots, \log _{\alpha} z_{n}\right)={z_{1}}^{\alpha_{1} z_{2}}{ }^{\alpha_{2}} \ldots z_{n}{ }^{\alpha_{n}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}=\frac{P_{x_{i}}}{P}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $P=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{x_{i}}$ are the standardized prices of each good. Formally, (5) is a Cobb-Douglas function. That is, in terms of the standard utility base exponential of each quantity, (4) assumes the Cobb-Douglas utility form. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}=1$.
Another example is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\beta \frac{Y}{P_{x_{1}}+P_{x_{2}}+\cdots+P_{x_{n}}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

being now $\beta$ the standard utility.
The expression (6) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} x_{i} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha_{i}$ defined above. So, in this case the utility is given by a linear combination of the quantities, which weights are the standardized prices, multiplied by the standard utility.

And, finally, as last example

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\gamma \ln \frac{Y}{P_{x_{1}}+P_{x_{2}}+\cdots+P_{x_{n}}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which $U(1,1, \ldots, 1)=0$ and $U(e, e, \ldots, e)=\gamma$.
Evidently

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} x_{i}\right)^{r} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4.Concluding Remarks

After the formulation of an evident economic problem related with the utility of goods, a differential equation with partial derivatives is deduced. A general solution is determined and through its concretization in functional terms some analytical expressions for the utility are presented. They are quite interpretable in terms of the economic reality. One next step, very difficult, is to look for the deducted equations fitness to real data. It is surely an interesting research field.
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